UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	v. Jorge Rodriguez-Miranda	O N- 4.44 00004 ITN
	Defendant	Case No. 1:11-cr-00031-JTN
	fter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reselendant be detained pending trial.	form Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I – Fi	ndings of Fact
(1)		in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is	death or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of	f ten years or more is prescribed in:
	a felony committed after the defendant had been U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state	en convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 e or local offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but in a minor victim	volves: or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon
	a failure to register under 18 U.S.C	
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed v or local offense.	vhile the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the _ offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presur person or the community. I further find that defendar	mption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another nt has not rebutted that presumption.
	Alternativ	re Findings (A)
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant	t has committed an offense
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et s under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2)		ablished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions nd the safety of the community.
		ve Findings (B)
√ (1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not app	pear.
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endang	er the safety of another person or the community.
		the Reasons for Detention
	find that the testimony and information submitted at th a preponderance of the evidence that:	e detention hearing establishes by <u></u> clear and convincing
2. Defer	ndant waived his detention hearing, electing not to condant is subject to an ICE detainer and would not be redant may bring the issue of his continuing detention t	
	Part III – Direction	s Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	February 15, 2011	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge